UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
, 10/823,215	04/12/2004	David S. Rathbun	001227/0129	1597
69095 7590 02/06/2008 STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN, LLP 180 MAIDEN LANE			EXAMINER	
			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
NEW YORK,	NY 10038	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3733	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			25		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V		
•	10/823,215	RATHBUN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Comstock	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions and the provision of the	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI  ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this condition of the conditio			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ The since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal mat		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4)	rawn from consideration. allowed.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ one drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

10/823,215 Art Unit: 3733

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 13-15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brace et al. (6,342,057; cited by applicant).

Brace et al. disclose a drill guide 100 comprising a guide barrel, e.g. 136, joined to an alignment assembly 138 (see Figs. 1 and 2). The guide barrel has a bore and the alignment assembly comprises a plurality of resilient fingers 206 having a ridge at the distal end thereof for locking to a bone plate 254 having screw holes and a recess 252 (see Fig. 12). A handle 112, 114 is associated with the guide barrel and is rotatable with respect to the guide barrel in a first plane either taken through the handle and the barrel (i.e. movement or actuation of the handle members 112, 114) or taken perpendicularly to the axis of the barrel bore (i.e. rotation of the entire device about the axis of the barrel bore). The device includes a swivel assembly (e.g. 124, 158) having a locked and unlocked position. Any of the openings of the assembly such as the slot for portion 158 can be considered a drain hole. The location post is axially fixed to the alignment assembly.

Application/Control Number:

10/823,215 Art Unit: 3733

Claims 1, 5, 6, 13, 14, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brace et al. (6,342,057; cited by applicant). (Note: this rejection differs from the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) over Brace et al. that was already applied above in the interpretation of the alignment assembly.)

Brace et al. disclose a drill guide 100 comprising a guide barrel, e.g. 136, joined to an alignment assembly (see Figs. 1, 2 and 7). The guide barrel has a bore and the alignment assembly inludes a location post 138 slidably received within an axial bore of a housing 206 (see Fig. 7). The location post has an extended and retracted position relative to the housing. The end of the guide barrel can be considered a depth stop since it is a surface that is capable of preventing a corresponding tool from passing therebeyond. A handle 112, 114 is associated with the guide barrel and is rotatable with respect to the guide barrel in a first plane either taken through the handle and the barrel (i.e. movement or actuation of the handle members 112, 114) or taken perpendicular to the axis of the barrel bore (i.e. rotation of the entire device about the axis of the barrel bore). The device includes a swivel assembly (e.g. 124, 158) having a locked and unlocked position. Any of the openings of the assembly such as the slot for portion 158 can be considered a drain hole.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for the obviousness rejections under this section of the Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brace et al. (6,342,057; cited by applicant).

Brace et al. disclose the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing the device comprising a non-metallic bearing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device with a bearing of a known material other than metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-12, 19-44, 46-48 and 58-63 are allowable.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02 October 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument regarding claim 1 is not persuasive. As set forth, Brace et al. satisfies the limitation that "the guide barrel is fixed and integral relative to the alignment assembly," since the device of Brace et al. may be locked at a particular angle (see, e.g., col. 6, lines 19-28 and col. 12, lines 37-39). When the device is locked the guide barrel is effectively and functionally fixed and integral with the alignment assembly. Applicant correctly argues that the device of Brace et al. is adjustable and this aspect of the invention is beneficial to the surgeon. More importantly, applicant uses the "open" claim transition "comprising," which requires at least the limitations or

Application/Control Number:

10/823,215

Art Unit: 3733

capabilities that are set forth but does not preclude additional limitations or capabilities. A locking capability is not contrary to any of Applicant's claims. It is an additional advantageous feature of the device of Brace et al. The device of Brace et al. is explicitly capable of being locked such that the guide barrel is not pivotable relative to the alignment assembly. Thus, it meets the limitation "fixed and integral" as set forth in claim 1 and explained above. In addition to this, the device of Brace et al. also provides the capability of being adjusted. Therefore, the device of Brace et al. is *not* inapposite and comprises at least everything that is claimed. It is noted that Applicant's claims 15 and 33 would be unclear and inconsistent, applying Applicant's own logic from above to the specific limitations in those claims. Specifically, if the handle recited therein is "freely rotatable with respect to the guide barrel" as claimed, then it would not also be able to satisfy the limitation that it "can not [be] rotated with respect to the guide barrel" (sic). Of course, these claims are not inconsistent because the device has a locked position and an unlocked position. Likewise, the device of Brace et al. comprises a locked position and an unlocked position, and in a locked position the guide barrel is not capable of being pivotable relative to the alignment assembly.

Regarding amended independent claim 56, the location post of the device of Brace et al. has a longitudinal axis and is clearly pivotable about the same. It is noted that the location post is also pivotable when it is engaged in a bone plate recess; that is, it can be placed in a bone plate recess and, *together with the plate*, can be pivoted. Furthermore, when not fully engaged therein, it can also rotate relative to the plate.

10/823,215 Art Unit: 3733

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/823,215 Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock